



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | I | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/789,491 | 10/789,491 02/26/2004 | | Mitsuaki Moritani | 088485-0245 | 7997 | |
| 23392 | 7590 | 08/10/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FOLEY & | | | VY, HUNG T | | | |
| | 2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 | | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| LOS ANGE | | | | | 2163 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006 | DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| * | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/789,491 | MORITANI ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Hung T. Vy | 2163 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | action is non-final. | accounting on to the modite in | | | | | |
| ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45 | 13 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or example. | wn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | г. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | , , | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of t | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- a) Species I, a content management apparatus defined by claims 1-11, and 17-20, as illustrated in figure 6.
- b) Species II, a content management method applied to a content management apparatus collectively managing content respectively stored in a plurality of storage device with second sort processing defined by claims 12-14, as illustrated in figure 7.
- c) Species III, a content management method with reproduction defined by claims 15-16, as illustrated in figures 8-9

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP§809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to Mr David A. Blumenthal on 07/18/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Page 4

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung T. Vy whose telephone number is 571-2721954. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am - 5.30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hung Vy 2163 August 6, 2006.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100